

## COUN 231 - Seminar in Professional Ethics and Laws for MFTs

### *∞ Scoring Rubric for Major Paper ∞*

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date in: \_\_\_\_\_ April 12, 2007 \_\_\_\_\_

Points from outline (Max 3): \_\_\_\_\_

Total for Paper (of 27 points): \_\_\_\_\_

Turnitin Score: \_\_\_\_\_

Grand Total (Max 30): \_\_\_\_\_

Information, Development, Depth, Logic		Form, Style, Grammar, Proofreading, Care in Assembly, etc.	
Ideas developed fully; logical progression from formation of problem to development of concepts to address the problem or concern.	14	Great use of form and style; APA guidelines are basically followed, citations are relevant and appropriate, varied use of resources pertinent to topic at hand. Grammatically wonderful. "Good" turnitin.com score/data.	13
	13		12
	12		11
	11		10
Ideas developed somewhat, or tangentially developed. Progression from problem to resolution may have "holes" or be "spotty" in formation.	10	Form and style are looking a bit ragged; APA style problems, format concerns, grammatical and writing concerns. Marginally "graduate work" at this level. "OK" turnitin.com score/data.	9
	9		8
	8		7
	7		6
Less than adequate expression of problem and/or addressing of concern. Minimal expression of ability to tackle graduate level writing pursuit.	6	Hey, this is not working for me; you didn't follow APA, you didn't spell check, you didn't proofread, etc. This is not a proud example of "graduate work" as far as I can tell. "Fair" turnitin.com score/data.	5
	5		4
	4		3
	3		
Please!	2	Yikes. How much time did you spend on this paper last night? And what about that high turnitin.com score/data?	2
	1		1
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>	

Comments on turnitin.com submission: \_\_\_\_\_